

From POP3-Server@goldeneye Thu Jan 16 17:18:03 1997
Received: from zephyr.water.ca.gov (zephyr [136.200.84.6])
by goldeneye.water.ca.gov (8.8.4/8.8.4) with ESMTTP
id NAA17965; Thu, 16 Jan 1997 13:16:34 -0800 (PST)
Received: from dns (dns.irm.r9.fws.gov [164.159.176.1])
by zephyr.water.ca.gov (8.8.4/8.8.4) with SMTP
id NAA20836; Thu, 16 Jan 1997 13:17:13 -0800 (PST)
Received: from mail.fws.gov by dns (SMI-8.6/SMI-4.1)
id OAA01971; Thu, 16 Jan 1997 14:21:25 -0700
Received: from ccMail by mail.fws.gov (SMTPLINK V2.11 PreRelease 4)
id AA853453026; Thu, 16 Jan 97 10:35:11 MST
From: evelyn_elder@mail.fws.gov
Date: Thu, 16 Jan 97 10:35:11 MST
Message-Id: <9700168534.AA853453026@mail.fws.gov>
To: ddaniel@water.ca.gov, sgross@honcho.water.ca.gov
Return-Receipt-To: evelyn_elder@mail.fws.gov
Subject: Objectives & Target Comment Follow Up Meeting -- Jan 16
Content-Type: text
Content-Length: 1770
Status:

Dick

Mike Thabault and I plan to attend the meeting this afternoon. Other staff have indicated an interest and do not have schedule conflicts may attend also. Regarding the two topic that you discussed with me:

1. Geographic Scope. Extending the geographic scope to include species such as the kit fox, blunt nosed lizard, etc in semi arid habitats which have been adversely affected by water project facilities or the application of water delivered by these facilities should be considered a Program BIG RED FLAG, not lightly dismissed. Failure to proactively address them in the ecosystem restoration program plan could potentially affect the ability of the Program to provide the reliable water supply component. I do not believe that this is a policy issue which has been addressed and we need to be sure to sort out the confusion.

While I can discuss this at todays meeting, it is largely an endangered species issue and will most appropriately be addressed by Mike Thabault. Mike is attending a meeting this morning in the Bay area. He plans to come directly to the meeting but may be late. Perhaps in setting your agenda you can accomodate this.

2) Channel Islands. Although I have not located the conflicting comments from CDFG, we may not actually have a significant disagreement.

Our staff is willing and are available to discuss any of their comments, individually or collectively, in detail with you and your consultants. Please let me know of your needs as soon as you have them identified and I will coordinate scheduling with our staff.
Thanks. Jean